



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER 08/813,950 FILING DATE 03/03/97 REINVENTION APPLICATION ASSMUS M 583-252-0-FI

IM12/0403

OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND
MAIER AND NEUSTADT
1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY
FOURTH FLOOR
ARLINGTON VA 22202

EXAMINER
SELLERS, R

ART UNIT 1712 PAPER NUMBER 19
04/03/98

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☒ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

a) ☒ is extended to run 4 months from the date of the final rejection

b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

☐ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed March 20, 1998, has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. ☐ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE:

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☐ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: None
Claims objected to: None
Claims rejected: 17-24

However;

☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

ROBERT SELLERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1712

- ☒ The ~~affidavit~~ request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because "consists essentially of" includes additives such as dispersants (Spec. p. 15, lines 25), Hydroxyethylcellulose of Canadian patent imports water solubility (cont. @ Oth
- ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.

☒ Other (p. 4, lines 19-22) which aids in dispersal of poly(meth)acrylate, thereby fall within the ambit of dispersant. Blend of Evudragit acrylic copolymer and triglyceride emulsion patent does not patentably differ from claimed non-homogeneous mixture.